arXiv:0810.2886v1 [math.AP] 16 Oct 2008

UNIQUENESS OF SCHRODINGER FLOW VIA ENERGY INEQUALITY

LI MA, LIN ZHAO, AND JING WANG

Abstract. In this short note, we show a uniqueness result of the energy solutions for the Cauchy problem of Schrodinger flow in the whole space R^n provided there is a smooth solution in the energy class.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 53C44

Keywords: Schrodinger flow, stability, uniqueness,energy class solutions

1. Introduction

Uniqueness problem for weak solutions of nonlinear evolution system is an important and hard topic. In this short note, we study the uniqueness result for Schrodinger (map) flow from $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \to S^2$ (since we have no intention to make survey on this topic, we refer to [5],[2], and [1] for more physical background and results from K.Uhlenbeck, C.Terng, J.Shatah, C.E.Kenig, T.Tao, D.Tataru, A.D.Ionescu, and others). Here S^2 is equipped with the standard metric. By definition, the Cauchy problem of the Schrodinger map flow is a smooth mapping

$$u : \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, +\infty) \to S^2$$

satisfying

$$u_t = u \times \Delta u, \quad in\ R^2 \times [0, +\infty), \tag{1}$$

with the Cauchy data

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0,$$

where $\Delta u = \sum \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$ is the usual Laplacian operator in R^2. Here and thereafter, we use the sum convention. From the equation (1) we can easily see that for the regular solution u, the energy

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx = E(u(0))$$

is conserved. In fact, from the fact

$$(u_t, \Delta u) = (u \times \Delta u, \Delta u) = 0,$$

Date: Feb. 20th, 2008.

* The research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10631020 and SRFDP 20060003002 .

we derive that

$$\int \frac{d}{dt}E(u(t)) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\nabla u, \nabla u_t) = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (\Delta u, u_t) = 0.$$

Another useful form of the equation (1) is

$$-\Delta u - u|\nabla u|^2 = u \times u_t. \tag{2}$$

Some people like to use another form. If we compose u with a stereographic projection π such that $z(x,t) = \pi \circ u(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, then we have

$$iz_t - \Delta z = \frac{2\bar{z}}{1+|z|^2}\partial_j z \partial_j z.$$

We say that the mapping

$$v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,+\infty); S^2)$$

is an energy class solution (and also called the weak Schrodinger flow) if v satisfies (1) in the distributional sense and the energy inequality

$$E(v(t)) \leq E(v(s)), \quad \mathit{for\ all}\ t > s \geq 0.$$

We denote by $\mathbf{R}_+ = [0,+\infty)$, $Du = (\nabla u, u_t)$, and (A,B,C) the determinant of the matrix formed by the ordered column vectors A, B, C. We have the following uniqueness result.

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,+\infty); S^2)$$

with

$$|Du| \in L^\infty_{loc}([0,\infty), L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2))$$

and

$$v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0,+\infty); S^2),\ \mathit{with}\ |Dv| \in L^\infty_{loc}([0,+\infty); L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2))$$

be (weak) solutions to (1) with the smooth Cauchy data u_0.

Assume that $|\nabla u_0|$ *has compact support. Then* $u = v$*. Furthermore, the same result is true for any Euclidean space* $\mathbf{R}^n$ *in place of the plane* $\mathbf{R}^2$.

We remark that the assumption about the L^∞ bound of the t-derivative v_t is equivalent to $|\Delta v|(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ by using the Schrodinger map flow equation (2). We remark that Theorem 1 in the plane case can also be derived from a result in [3]. It seems to us that L^∞ bound of the t-derivative v_t is very stronger. However, our assumption in dimension two can be weaken by using the Ladyzhenskaya's inequality. Recall here that the Ladyzhenskaya's inequality ([4]) is the following one. For any $w \in C^1_0(\mathbf{R}^2)$,

$$||w|^2|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C|w|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}|\nabla w|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}.$$

We point out that similar results for wave equation and wave maps have been obtained by M.Struwe [7] and here we use the similar Gronwall inequality method. One may also see the paper [7] for more related results. Similar result for Schrodinger system has been obtained in [6]. The new ingredient in our proof is the simple L^2 growth of $v - u$ and its derivatives.

In the following, we denote by C the various constants, which do not depend on time variable t.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

For simple presentation, we just give the proof for $n = 2$. The general case can be treated in the same way.

Write $v = u + w$. Note that $|u|^2 = 1 = |v|^2$. So, $u_t \cdot u = 0 = v \cdot v_t$.

Consider

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |w|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} w \cdot w_t.$$

Note that

$$w \cdot w_t = w \cdot v \times \Delta v - w \cdot u \times \Delta u = w \cdot u \times \Delta w.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |w|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (w \times u) \cdot \Delta w.$$

Using the integrating by part, the latter term can be bounded by

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (|\nabla w|^2 + |w|^2).$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} |w|^2 dx(t) \leq C \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (|\nabla w|^2 + |w|^2). \tag{3}$$

We clearly have that

$$E(v) = E(u) + I + E(w),$$

where

$$I = \langle dE(u), w \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx.$$

Hence,

$$0 \geq E(v(t)) - E(v(0)) = I(t) - I(0) + E(w(t)) - E(w(0)). \tag{4}$$

Consider

$$I(t) - I(0) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_t (\nabla u \cdot \nabla w) dx. \tag{5}$$

Then we have

$$(5) = -\int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} [(\Delta u) \cdot w_t + (\Delta w) \cdot u_t],$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(5)\quad &= \int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} w_t\cdot[u|\nabla u|^2 - v|\nabla v|^2 + u\times u_t - v\times v_t]\\ &= \int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} w_t\cdot[u|\nabla u|^2 - v|\nabla v|^2 - w\times u_t]\\ &= \int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}[w_t\cdot(u|\nabla u|^2 - v|\nabla v|^2) + (w, w_t, u_t)]\end{aligned}$$

after using the relations

$$w_t\cdot\Delta u = -w_t\cdot(u|\nabla u|^2 + u\times u_t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}u_t\cdot\Delta w &= u_t\cdot\Delta v - u_t\cdot\Delta u\\ &= -u_t\cdot(v|\nabla v|^2 + v\times v_t)\\ &= w_t\cdot(v|\nabla v|^2 + v\times v_t).\end{aligned}$$

We now bound each term case by case. Using

$$w_t = v\times\Delta w + w\times\Delta u,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(w, w_t, u_t) &= \int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(w, v\times\Delta w, u_t)\\ &= -\int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(w\times u_t, v, \Delta w)\end{aligned}$$

Upon integrating by part, we have

$$|\int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(w\times u_t, v, \Delta w)| \le C\int_0^t d\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}(|\nabla w|^2 + |w|^2)dx.$$

To bound other terms, we notice the relation that

$$v|\nabla v|^2 - u|\nabla u|^2 = w|\nabla v|^2 + u(|\nabla v|^2 - |\nabla u|^2).$$

Using

$$w_t = v\times\Delta w + w\times\Delta u,$$

again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}w_t\cdot(v|\nabla v|^2 - u|\nabla u|^2) &= (v\times\Delta w + w\times\Delta u)\cdot w|\nabla v|^2 +\\ &\quad (v\times\Delta w + w\times\Delta u)\cdot u(|\nabla v|^2 - |\nabla u|^2)\\ &= (v\times\Delta w + w\times\Delta u)\cdot w|\nabla v|^2 +\\ &\quad v\times\Delta w\cdot u(|\nabla v|^2 - |\nabla u|^2)\\ &\quad +w\times\Delta u\cdot u(\nabla w\cdot\nabla(u+v))\\ &= (v\times\Delta w + w\times\Delta u)\cdot w|\nabla v|^2 +\\ &\quad w\times\Delta w\cdot u(|\nabla v|^2 - |\nabla u|^2)\\ &\quad +w\times\Delta u\cdot u(\nabla w\cdot\nabla(u+v)),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that upon integrating by part, based on a regularization approach,

$$|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} w_t \cdot (v|\nabla v|^2 - u|\nabla u|^2)| \leq C(E(w) + |w|_2^2).$$

Then using (4), we have

$$|I(t) - I(0)| \leq C \int_0^t (E(w) + |w|_2^2),$$

and we have

$$E(w(t)) \leq E(w(0)) + C \int_0^t (E(w) + |w|^2).$$

This estimate gives us the stability result for the Schrodinger map flow.

Note that $E(w(0)) = 0$. Combining this with (3), we have

$$E(w(t)) + |w|_2^2(t) \leq C \int_0^t (E(w) + |w|_2^2).$$

By the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$w = 0, \quad and\ u = v.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

[1] Ioan Bejenaru, Alexandru D. Ionescu, Carlos E. Kenig, Daniel Tataru, *Global Schrodinger maps in dimensions $d \geq 2$: small data in THE CRITICAL SOBOLEV SPACES*,arXiv:0807.0265

[2] W. Y. Ding, On the Schrodinger flows. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 283-291.

[3] Carlos Kenig and Andrea Nahmod, *The Cauchy problem for the hyperbolic- elliptic Ishimori system and Schrodinger maps*, Nonlinearity, 18(2005)1987-2009.

[4] O.A.Ladyzhenskaya,, *A mathematical theory of viscous imcomplete flow*, Revised English edition, Translated from the Russian by R.A.Silverman, Gordon and Breach Science Publisher, New York-London, 1963.

[5] L. D. Landau, *Collected papers of L. D. Landau*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris (1967).

[6] Li Ma, Lin Zhao *On energy stability for the coupled nonlinear Schrodinger system*, ZAMP, online, 2008.

[7] M.Struwe, *Uniqueness for critical nonlinear wave equations and wave maps via the energy inequality*, Comm. Pure and Applied Math., Vol. LII, 1179-1188(1999).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, CHINA

E-mail address: `lma@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`